REDVINE Version 0.5 Beta Documentation

Muralikrishna Sridhar, Feng Gu^{*}, Anthony G. Cohn, and David C. Hogg School of Computing, University of Leeds

1 Introduction

This documentation details the user manual and technical background of the REDVINE syste,, a novel approach, where interactions in a video are represented using an *activity graph*. The activity graph embodies all the interactions i.e. all the qualitative spatiotemporal relations between all pairs of interacting cotemporal object tracks during the entire video, as well as other properties such as pre-computed 'primitive events' and object types. Interactions between subsets of objects or those involving only a subset of spatial relations are captured by interaction sub-graphs of an activity graph. Learning involves using subinteraction graphs that are labelled in the ground truth with corresponding event label(s), in order to learn event models for each event under consideration. Given an unseen video that we represent as a corresponding activity graph, the task of event detection corresponds to finding the most probable covering of the activity graph with sub-interaction graphs, given a learned event model. Each detected interaction sub-graph is mapped back to the video in the form of event detections, where an event detection corresponds to an interaction by a subset of objects during certain time intervals, together with their respective event label(s). In this documentation we illustrate the REDVINE system on a dataset consisting of common outdoor verbs¹ (taken from www.visint.org).

2 User Manual

2.1 Prerequisites of the System

REDVINE is implemented in MatLab, and to run the system properly, users are required to install the 'Graphviz' and 'ffmpeg' packages. These packages are required for the displaying functions. Once the REDVINE package is unzipped, it should contain the following directories:

^{*}If you have any questions of the documentation or software, please contact Dr. Muralikrishna Sridhar (scms@leeds.ac.uk) or Dr. Feng Gu (f.gu@leeds.ac.uk).

¹In this documentation and the current system we assume that the task is to learn verb models. The system could equally be used to learn more general kinds of event models, providing each instance takes place over some one-piece interval and involves interactions of objects.

- **Images**: this directory stores all the images converted from each video in the dataset, through 'ffmpeg', and they are required for the displaying functions of the system.
- **Output**: this directory stores the output of the system, e.g. images, videos and results, and if it is not originally included in the package, users will be able to create it after the REDVINE is launched.
- **RedVine**: this directory consists of all the Matlab scripts of the system (under the directory of '.../REDVINECode').
- **Tracks**: this directory consists of all the Viper² files where both tracks and spatio-temporal ground truth are stored.

2.2 System Architecture

The work-flow of REDVINE consists of three major stages, namely 'system setup', where the relevant system parameters are initialised, e.g. directories of input and output; 'relational representation', where each input video is represented as an activity graph and numerous displaying tools are provided; and 'learning and classification', where the activity graph of a video is mined as interaction sub-graphs (corresponding to particular spatio-temporal regions of interest) that are then represented as histogram feature vectors for classification. Each of these stages is displayed in the following flowcharts: Figure 1, Figure 2 and Figure 3.

2.3 Graphical User Interface

A comprehensive graphical user interface (GUI) is provided by the system, where each component of the pipeline can be called individually. Users need to firstly start Matlab and point it to the directory of REDVINE scripts, through 'addpath(genpath('.../REDVINECode'))'. Now the REDVINE GUI can be launched by typing 'REDVINE' in the Matlab command window, as shown in Figure 4.

There are five components of the GUI that corresponds to the three major stages of the systems, including 'Set Up' in the system setup stage; 'Spatio-Temporal Representation' in the relational representation stage; 'Graph Mining', 'Feature Extraction', and 'Learning and Detection' in the learning and classification stage. The description of each part is given as follows:

- 1. Set up
 - The buttons 'Browse for Image Directory', 'Browse for Tacks Directory' and 'Browse for Output Directory' can be used to specify the directories of Images, Tracks and Output, please note

 $^{^2} Details$ of the ViPER: the Video Performance Evaluation Resource can be found in <code>http://viper-toolkit.sourceforge.net/</code>



Figure 1: A flowchart of the system setup stage.

users are allowed to create a new directory for output files through the pop-up window if necessary.

- In the list of 'Select Spatial Relation(s)', users can select the types of qualitative-spatial-relationships (e.g. RCC3, QTC6 and QTC6V³) included for the computation of activity graphs.
- In the list of 'Select Event Classes', users can select a list of verbs that will be used by the system, e.g. 'approach', 'follow' etc.
- The 'Save' button is for initializing the system's default parameters, which should be performed whenever users change any settings.

2. Spatio-Temporal Representation

- The '**Parse Viper Files**⁴' button is for extracting tracks and ground truth from all the Viper files supplied in the directory of '**Tracks**' and saving it in '.mat' format that can be used by the rest of the pipeline.
- The '**Compute Interaction Graphs**' button can be used to start the computation process of activity graphs.
- The 'Select Video for Display' button allows the visualisation of a selected video.

³For the illustration of these relationships, please refer to [3], which can be found at http://www.comp.leeds.ac.uk/qsr/publications.html

⁴Details of the Viper format are provided in http://viper-toolkit.sourceforge.net/docs/file/, the current system only accepts tracks presented as the Viper format.



Figure 2: A flowchart of the relational representation stage.



Figure 3: A flowchart of the learning and classification stage.

- In the list of verbs selected in the 'Set Up' session will be shown for users to select a particular verb for visualisation.
- The 'Play Video (s)' can be used to visualise for all the videos of the selected verb.
- Alternatively, the 'Show QRel/Graphs' allows the user to visualise for all the qualitative relations/graphs of the selected verb.
- The two tick-boxes 'Spatial Relationship' and 'Interaction Graph' allow users to specify types of information for displaying a video, for example, if neither is chosen, it will only show the tracks, and if 'Spatial Relationship' is chosen, in addition to tracks, spatial relationships in a video will also be shown, and so forth.
- The two tick-boxes 'Save Images' and 'Images→Videos' give users the options to save all the images that have been displayed, as well as to convert those images into a video through 'ffmpeg'.
- The slider is used to adjust the speed of displaying functions from slow (left) to fast (right).
- The button of 'Stop' is for aborting any displaying functions.
- 3. Graph Mining
 - The button 'Mine Activity Graph' is used to call the process of mining activity graphs from the interaction graph of each video.



Figure 4: A screen snapshot of the REDVINE GUI.

- The button 'Display Sub-Graph' allows users to display all the mined activity graphs obtained through the mining process.
- The button 'Mine Graphemes' is for mining a Grapheme dictionary that will used for feature extraction.
- The button '**Display Graphemes**' can be used to display the obtained Grapheme dictionary.

4. Feature Extraction

- The button '**Histogram of Graphemes**' is used to extract features from the mined interaction sub-graphs which now are represented as feature vectors of histograms.
- The button '**Display Embedded Features**' allows for the visualisation of extracted features in a lower dimensional space.

5. Learning and Detection

• The button 'Video N Fold CV' allows users to start the recognition and detection process, where currently only the option of video-based N-fold (N = 5) cross validation is provided; however in the future more options will added, e.g. the option of choosing different classifiers and the option of specifying the value of N.

3 Technical Details

3.1 System Overview

Recognizing verbs in videos has many interesting applications and is a reasonably well researched area. However, interestingly much of this research has focussed on representation of activities in videos using optical flow based representations. The flow based representation has found to be particularly well suited for a reasonable vocabulary of verbs that are predominantly *action verbs* such as kick and run. Some recent approaches focus on extending the flow based approaches to model certain simple *interaction verbs* such as 'exchange' and 'follow'.

In this work, the interactions between all the object tracks for an entire video is compactly represented using an *activity graph*. The activity graph is a three layered graph which represents the spatio-temporal relations between *all pairs* of co-temporal object tracks. In short, layer one nodes of the activity graph correspond to object tracks, layer two nodes represent qualitative spatial relations⁵ that hold for certain *maximal intervals* between certain pairs of object tracks that are observed co-temporally, and layer three nodes represent qualitative temporal relations between certain pairs of these maximal intervals. Section 3.2 describes how videos are represented using activity graphs in our framework.

While an activity graph exhaustively represents all interactions i.e. all spatial relations between all pairs of objects in a given video, verbs would generally correspond to a subset of smaller interactions. As we shall illustrate in section 3.3, *Interaction sub-graphs* of the activity graph naturally captures these smaller interactions and are thus readily usable as training samples for supervised training of verb models for each verb class, when they are marked with respective verb labels as a part of a manually specified ground truth. Section 3.3 describes the task of learning verb models.

Given an unseen video, the task of verb detection can be formulated as the task of finding the most probable covering of the object tracks with interactions that are detected as belonging to certain verb classes. In our framework, this task naturally translates to finding the most probable covering of the corresponding activity graph with interaction sub-graphs using a learned verb model. We characterize the most probable covering as composed of a few highly probable interaction sub-graphs that are also large in size and are layed out on the activity graph in a manner such that labels for overlapping graphs tend to reflect co-occurrence statistics from the training set. Each detected sub-interaction graph is mapped back to the video in the form of verb detections, where a verb detection corresponds to an interaction by a subset of objects during certain time intervals, together with their respective verb label(s). Section 3.4 describes how the video event detection is formulated as finding an optimal covering of an activity graph.

 $^{^5 {\}rm Other}$ properties which hold for intervals of time, such as primitive events, or type information can also be represented as layer 2 nodes.



Figure 5: An illustration of the relational approach

3.2 Video Activity Graphs

We propose that activity graphs a natural way of representing interactions between objects participating in video activities, using qualitative spatial and temporal relations. We describe how an interaction between a person and a ball that occurs in a particular video is captured by the corresponding activity graph. At the top, in Fig. 1.a is a sequence of images representing the interaction between a person and a ball, where the ball approaches the person, the person chases the ball and then they are static with respect to each other. Below that is shown, two parallel sequences of *episodes* [2], where each episode corresponds to an interval, during which a qualitative spatial relationship holds maximally. For example, the relationship Disconnected (Dr) holds maximally for the interval I_4 between the objects O_1 and O_2 respectively, as shown in Fig. 1.a.

As already mentioned above, activity graphs are three layered graphs, in which the layer 1 nodes are mapped to the interacting object tracks. Layer 2 nodes represent all the episodes between all those pairs of tracks which are co-temporally observed and are labelled with their respective maximal spatial relation as shown in Fig. 1.a. For example, the sub-graph with the two layer 1 nodes and the first of the layer 2 nodes labelled with Dr represents the fact that the relation Dr holds between O_1 and O_2 during the interval I_3 and is equivalent to the logical formulae Holds $(O_1, O_2; Dr; I_4)$. Layer 3 nodes of the activity graph are labelled with Allens apostrophe temporal relations between *certain pairs* of intervals corresponding to episodes represented by respective pairs of layer 2 nodes, and these pairs are characterized by the property that both intervals of a pair do not lie outside and on the same side of the interval characterizing the interaction. For example, the sub-graph formed by the two layer 2 episode nodes labelled as Dr and Po respectively and the first of the layer 3 node labelled with the symbol m represents the fact that the relationship meets, signified by the symbol m, holds between the intervals corresponding to these two episodes namely I_4 and I_5 , and is equivalent to the logical formulae Meets(I_4 ; I_5). Note that we do not represent the relations between the intervals I_1 and I_4 as both of them can potentially lie outside and on the same side of the interval shown.

The choice of a graph based representation as opposed to a logic based one is motivated by the rationale that they offer a computationally efficient alternative to logical predicates⁶, by avoiding repetition of object and episode variables and also provide a well defined and computationally efficient comparison of interactions, by means of suitable similarity measure, as described below.

However, the expressive of activity graphs may be plagued by noisy qualitative spatio-temporal relationships which arises due to the jitter arising from unstable bounding boxes, and may not represent intuitive transitions between spatial states. To address this problem, in [4] we proposed an approach which incorporates a temporal model that reflects more natural state transitions learned from a manually annotated ground truth and therefore discourages unintuitive state transitions that may arise from video noise. The temporal model arises from a state transition matrix which is defined on the Conceptual Neighbourhood Graphs (CNG) [4] for topological relationships (RCC5). CNGs naturally capture transitions between mutually exclusive and exhaustive et of qualitative relationships at a certain level of granularity and thus enable the definition of a well defined temporal model. This property motivates our choice of using CNGs arising from well researched calculi such as RCC5, QTC6, DIR4. Thus, each sequence of episodes (e.g. Fig. 1.a) correspond to one of the three different types of qualitative relations (e.g in Fig 1.a corresponds to topology (RCC5) and relative trajectories (QTC6)).

3.3 Learning Verb Models of Interaction Sub-graphs

An activity graph exhaustively represents all interactions i.e. all spatial relations between all pairs of co-temporally observed objects in a given video. However, verbs would generally correspond to a subset of smaller interactions i.e. a subset of spatial relations between a subset of objects. For example, the intervals for the two verbs *follow* and *chase* corresponds to a smaller interaction involving only a *subset of spatial relations*, namely Ap, Pu and Po. In our framework, the smaller interactions naturally map to interaction sub-graphs of the activity graph. For example, the smaller interaction given above corresponds to an

 $^{^{6}}$ This is because these initial/final relations are somewhat arbitrary in different instances of the same class, depending on when these intervals start/finish.

interaction sub-graph of the activity graph in Fig. 1.b, which contains the corresponding objects, the subset of spatial relations Ap, Pu and Po and the appropriate temporal relationships, as shown in Fig. 1.c.

As our ground truth consists of such smaller interactions (in terms of objects and time intervals) with corresponding verb labels, the corresponding interaction sub-graphs with their verb labels readily serve as training examples for supervised training of verb models for each verb class $v \in \mathcal{V}$, where \mathcal{V} is the set of all verb classes under consideration. In general we represent an event not just by one interaction graph that represents the interaction in the interval exactly specified by the ground truth, but by a collection of interaction graphs that closely overlap with this graph. We also include background interaction subgraphs i.e. those interaction sub-graphs that do not overlap any of the positive examples for all the verb classes.

3.3.1 Spatio-temporal Bag of Graphemes

Given a set of sub-interaction graphs, we build a spatio-temporal bag-of-graphemes (BoG), in order to learn verb class models for each verb. As we shall see later, this representation is also useful during verb detection. The BoG representation requires the construction of a grapheme vocabulary. We obtain this vocabulary by mining graphemes each of which tend to have relatively high dependencies with respect to a particular target class. To this end, we first exhaustively mine all interaction sub-graphs that represent interactions upto a maximum number of objects and a maximum number of episodes. Then we apply minimum-redundancy maximum-relevancy (MRMR) [1] which searches for a subset of graphemes that have a maximum mutual dependencies with respect to all classes and a minimum redundancy within this set as expressed below.

$$G_{max} = \arg\max_{G} \frac{1}{\|G\|} \sum_{g_i \in G} I(g_i, \mathcal{V}) - \frac{1}{\|G\|^2} \sum_{g_i, g_j \in G} I(g_i, g_j)$$

The BoG representation represents an interaction sub-graph in terms of a histogram which records the normalized frequency with which each grapheme from the grapheme vocabulary occurs in the interaction sub-graph. We learn verb models for each verb class by using a one vs all learning scheme with SVM that uses χ^2 histogram kernel to compute the similarity between a pair of graphs.

3.4 Video Event Detection as finding an Optimal Covering of an Activity Graph

Given an unseen video the task of verb detection can be formulated as the task of finding the most probable covering of the object tracks with interactions that are detected as belonging to certain verb classes. In our framework, this task naturally translates to finding the most probable covering of the corresponding activity graph with interaction sub-graphs using a learned verb model. In order to identify the most likely sub-interaction graphs given the event class models, we first exhaustively mine all the interaction sub-graphs of the activity graph. For each of these sub-graphs, we measure the likelihood with respect to the learned model for each event class. This results in an *initial interpretation* of the activity graph in terms of all possible and highly overlapping sub-interaction graphs, each of which have a certain likelihood with respect to each of the event classes.

In order to obtain an interpretation with the above properties, we first filter less likely graphs by applying a threshold to choose only those graphs whose likelihood probability with respect to the most likely class (for that graph) exceeds the threshold. We further filter those graphs whose probabilities do not decline rapidly beyond the top k probabilities. We perform this filtering operation to remove graphs that do not clearly belong to a small subset of classes.

3.5 Summary and Future Work

One direction for future research is to investigate the role of other qualitative relations and their role in representing activities. Another interesting direction is to model human actions by considering relationships between body parts. These body parts could be obtained using part-based models. A principal contribution of this paper is a general way of addressing problems in video activity understanding using graph based relational learning. In the future, it would be interesting to extend this formalism to other tasks in activity understanding such as anomaly detection, scene description and gap filling.

References

- Hanchuan Peng, Fuhui Long, and Chris Ding. Feature selection based on mutual information: criteria of max-dependency, max-relevance, and minredundancy. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelli*gence, 27:1226–1238, 2005.
- [2] Muralikrishna Sridhar, Anthony G. Cohn, and David C. Hogg. Unsupervised learning of event classes from video. In *Proc. AAAI*, pages 1631–1638. AAAI Press, 2010.
- [3] Muralikrishna Sridhar, Anthony G. Cohn, and David C. Hogg. Benchmarking qualitative spatial calculi for video activity analysis. In Proc. IJCAI Workshop Benchmarks and Applications of Spatial Reasoning, pages 15–20, 2011.
- [4] Muralikrishna Sridhar, Anthony G. Cohn, and David C. Hogg. From video to rcc8: Exploiting a distance based semantics to stabilise the interpretation of mereotopological relations. In Max J. Egenhofer, Nicholas A. Giudice, Reinhard Moratz, and Michael F. Worboys, editors, *COSIT*, volume 6899 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 110–125. Springer, 2011.